arXiv:1610.03870v1 [math.DG] 12 Oct 2016

SYSTOLE OF CONGRUENCE COVERINGS OF ARITHMETIC HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS

PLINIO G. P. MURILLO

Abstract. In this paper we prove that, for any arithmetic hyperbolic manifold M of dimension n of the first type, the systole of any sequence of principal congruence coverings M_I associated to prime ideals I satisfy

$$\mathrm{sys}\pi_1(M_I) \geq \frac{8}{n(n+1)} \log(\mathrm{vol}(M_I)) - c,$$

where c is a constant independent of I. As applications, we obtain explicit estimates for systolic genus of hyperbolic manifolds studied by Belolipetsky and the distance of homological codes constructed by Guth and Lubotzky.

1. Introduction

The *systole* of a Riemannian manifold M is the length of a shortest non-contractible closed geodesic in M and it is denoted by $\mathrm{sys}\pi_1(M)$. In 1994, P. Buser and P. Sarnak constructed in [15] the first explicit examples of surfaces with systole growing logarithmically with the genus. For this construction they used sequences of congruence coverings of an arithmetic compact Riemann surface. These examples were generalized in 2007 by M. Katz, M. Schaps and U. Vishne to congruence coverings of any compact arithmetic Riemann surfaces and arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifolds [10]. They proved that any sequence of congruence covering S_i of a compact arithmetic Riemann surface S satisfy

$$\mathrm{sys}\pi_1(S_i) \geq \frac{4}{3} \log(\mathrm{area}(S_i)) - c \quad \text{as } i \to \infty, \tag{1}$$

where c is independent of i. In dimension 3, the corresponding result which was also obtained in [10] has the constant $\frac{2}{3}$ instead of $\frac{4}{3}$.

It is known that a sequence of regular congruence coverings of a compact arithmetic hyperbolic manifold attains asymptotically the logarithmic growth of the systole (see [8, 3.C.6] or [9, Sec.4]), but the examples above are the only cases in which the explicit constant in the systole growth was known so far. In particular, it would be interesting

Date: October 14, 2016.

This work was supported by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior-CAPES.

to understand how the asymptotic constant depends on the dimension.

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the previous results to the sequences of principal congruence coverings M_I of an arithmetic hyperbolic manifold M of the first type, with I ranging through prime ideals. We show that if the dimension of M is n then this sequence eventually satisfies

$$\text{sys}\pi_1(M_I) \geq \frac{8}{n(n+1)} \log(\text{vol}(M_I)) - c, \tag{2}$$

where the constant c is independent of the ideal I. We refer to Sections 2.3 and 2.4 for the definitions and Theorem 5.1 for the precise statement of the result.

These results give us the first example of explicit constants for the growth of systole of sequences of congruence coverings of arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds in dimensions greater than three. In [13], the author studies the same problem for other non-positively curved arithmetic manifolds.

We would like to remark the following aspect in our approach. In order to generalize to higher dimensions Inequality (1), the most natural approach could be to consider congruence subgroups $\overline{\Gamma}(I)$ of arithmetic subgroups $\overline{\Gamma}$ in $\text{SO}^0(1,n)$, and to study the systole of the quotient spaces $\overline{\Gamma}(I)\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$. However, the congruence coverings M_I of the hyperbolic manifolds M appearing in Theorem 5.1 arise from congruence subgroups of arithmetic subgroups Γ in $\text{Spin}(1,n)$. The main reason for this is that the constant in the lower bound of the systole obtained from Inequality (2) matches with the previously known constants $\frac{4}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$ in dimensions 2 and 3 proved in [10]. On the other hand, if $\overline{\Gamma}$ is an arithmetic subgroup in $\text{SO}^0(1,n)$ of the first type, we could only prove that if I is a prime ideal with norm sufficiently large, then the congruence subgroups $\overline{\Gamma}(I) \subset \overline{\Gamma}$ satisfy a weaker lower bound

$$\text{sys}\pi_1(\overline{\Gamma}(I)\backslash\mathbb{H}^n) \geq \frac{4}{n(n+1)} \log(\text{vol}(\overline{\Gamma}(I)\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)) - d, \tag{3}$$

where d is a constant independent of I. The proof of this result is based on slightly different ideas and the details can be found in this author's PhD thesis [14].

This paper is organised as follows. We begin in Section 2 recalling basic facts about hyperbolic manifolds and the group $\text{Spin}(1,n)$. We also define in this section arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds M of the first

type and their congruence coverings M_I. We then study the displacement of the action of $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$ in Section 3 and we estimate the length of closed geodesics of M_I in terms of the norm of the ideal I in Section 4. In Section 5, the main result is proved. In the last two sections we apply our result in two different contexts: in Section 6 we give a more precise relation between the systolic genus and the volume of congruence coverings of arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds studied by M. Belolipetsky in [2]. In Section 7, we present an explicit lower bound for the distance of homological codes constructed by L. Guth and A. Lubotzky using arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds [9].

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Mikhail Belolipetsky for his advice, encouragement and many valuable suggestions on the preliminary versions of this work.

2. Preliminary material

2.1. **Hyperbolic manifolds.** The hyperbolic n-space is the complete simply connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with the constant sectional curvature equal to -1. The *hyperboloid model* of the hyperbolic n-space is given by

$$\mathbb{H}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; x_0^2 - x_1^2 - \cdots - x_{n-1}^2 - x_n^2 = 1, x_0 > 0\}$$

with the metric $ds^2 = -dx_0^2 + \cdots + dx_n^2 + dx_n^2$.

A *hyperbolic manifold* M is a complete Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature equal to -1. These manifolds are the quotients spaces $M = \overline{\Gamma}\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$, where $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a discrete torsion-free subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n)$.

The group $\mathrm{SO}(1,n)$ of linear transformations preserving a quadratic form of the signature $(1,n)$ over $\mathbb{R}$ acts by isometries on the hyperbolic n-space $\mathbb{H}^n$. The identity component $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(1,n)$ is a real Lie group isomorphic to the orientation-preserving isometries $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Both these groups act linearly on the hyperboloid model of $\mathbb{H}^n$. This action is transitive and we can identify $\mathbb{H}^n = G/K$, where K is the stabilizer of a point by the action of G.

A discrete subgroup Γ of a Lie group G is called a *lattice* if the quotient $\Gamma\backslash G$ has finite measure with respect to a Haar measure of G. The Haar measure is unique up to a multiplication by scalar, hence Γ is a lattice in $\mathrm{SO}^0(n,1)$ if and only if the hyperbolic manifold $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$ has finite volume.

2.2. **The group Spin.** The universal covering of $\mathrm{SO}^0(n,1)$ is the spin group $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$. In this section we recall the basic facts about the

spinor group $\mathrm{Spin}(f,k)$ associated to a quadratic form f defined over a field k. We will refer the reader to [3], [4] and [5] for further details.

Let k be a field of characteristic not equal to 2, and let E be an n-dimensional vector space over k. Suppose that $f : E \to k$ is a non-degenerate quadratic form with associated bilinear form Φ. If $T(E)$ denotes the tensor algebra of E and $\mathfrak{a}_f$ the two-sided ideal of $T(E)$ generated by the elements $x\otimes y+y\otimes x-2\Phi(x,y)$, the *Clifford algebra of* f is defined as the quotient $\mathscr{C}(f) = T(E)/\mathfrak{a}_f$.

The Clifford algebra of f is a unitary associative algebra over k with a canonical map $j : E \to \mathscr{C}(f)$ such that $j(x)^2 = f(x)$ for any $x \in E$, which satisfies the following universal property: Given an associative k-algebra R with unity, for any map $g : E \to R$ satisfying $g(x)^2 = f(x)$ there exists a unique k-algebra homomorphism $\bar{g} : \mathscr{C}(f) \to R$ such that $\bar{g} \circ i = g$.

We identify E with its image $j(E)$ in $\mathscr{C}(f)$ and k with $k \cdot 1 \subset \mathscr{C}(f)$. If we choose an orthogonal basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of E with respect to Φ then in $\mathscr{C}(f)$ we have the relations $e_\nu^2 = f(e_\nu)$ and $e_\nu e_\mu = -e_\mu e_\nu$ for $\mu, \nu = 1, \ldots, n, \mu \neq \nu$. Let $\mathscr{P}_n$ be the set of subsets of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For $M = \{e_{\mu_1}, \ldots, e_{\mu_k}\} \in \mathscr{P}_n$ with $\mu_1 < \cdots < \mu_k$ we write $e_M = e_{\mu_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot e_{\mu_k}$ and $e_\emptyset = 1$. Every element in $\mathscr{C}(f)$ can be written uniquely in the form $s = \Sigma_{M\in\mathscr{P}_n} s_M e_M$ with $s_M \in k$.

The *even Clifford algebra* $\mathscr{C}^+(f)$ of f is the k-subalgebra of $\mathscr{C}(f)$ generated by the elements e_M with $|M|$ even. We call by the *Clifford group* $\mathscr{C}l(f)$ of f (resp. the *special Clifford group* $\mathscr{C}l^+(f)$) the multiplicative group of the invertible elements of $\mathscr{C}(f)$ (resp. $\mathscr{C}^+(f)$) such that $sEs^{-1} = E$.

The Clifford algebra $\mathscr{C}(f)$ has a *main anti-automorphism* * which acts on the basis elements by $(e_{\mu_1} e_{\mu_2} \cdots e_{\mu_k})^* = e_{\mu_k} e_{\mu_{k-1}} \cdots e_{\mu_1}$. For any $s \in \mathscr{C}l^+(f)$, ss^* is an element of $k^\times$, which is called the *spinor norm* of s [3, §9, Proposition 4]. The *spin group of* f is defined as the group of elements in the special Clifford group with the spinor norm equal to one:

$$\mathrm{Spin}(f,k) = \{s \in \mathscr{C}^+(f), sEs^* = E \text{ and } ss^* = 1\}. \tag{4}$$

The conditions defining the group $\mathrm{Spin}(f,k)$ are determined by polynomials in 2^n variables which give $\mathrm{Spin}(f,k)$ the structure of an affine k-algebraic group. If $k = \mathbb{R}$ and f has signature $(1,n)$ over $\mathbb{R}$ the group $\mathrm{Spin}(f,\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$.

For an element $s \in \mathrm{Spin}(f,k)$, the map $\varphi_s : E \mapsto E$ given by $\varphi_s(x) = sxs^{-1}$ defines a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathrm{Spin}(f,k) &\mapsto \mathrm{O}(f,k), \\ s &\mapsto \varphi_s. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

If $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$, the kernel of φ is the set $\{1,-1\}$ [4, Secs. II.2.3 and II.2.6]. If $k = \mathbb{R}$ and f is isotropic, we have moreover that the image of φ is equal to the group $\mathrm{SO}^0(f,\mathbb{R})$ [4, Sec. 2.9]. In particular, any lattice Γ in $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ acts on the hyperbolic n-space $\mathbb{H}^n$ and $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$ has finite volume.

We finish the section with a definition. Analogously to the complex numbers and the quaternion algebra, for $s = \Sigma_{M\in\mathscr{P}_n} s_M i_M$ we define the *k-part of s* as $s_k := s_\emptyset$. In the case $k = \mathbb{R}$ we call it the *real part of s*.

2.3. **Arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds of the first type.** There is a wide class of discrete subgroups of a semi-simple Lie group G which can be constructed using arithmetic tools. We recall that a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$ is *arithmetic* if there exist a number field k, a k-algebraic group H, and an epimorphism $\varphi : \mathrm{H}(k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \to G$ with compact kernel such that $\varphi(\mathrm{H}(\mathcal{O}_k))$ is commensurable to Γ, where $\mathcal{O}_k$ is the ring of integers of k and $\mathrm{H}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ denotes the $\mathcal{O}_k$-points of H with respect to some fixed embedding of H into GL_m. We call k the *field of definition* of Γ.

By a fundamental theorem of Borel and Harish-Chandra any arithmetic subgroup of a semi-simple Lie group is a lattice. A lattice of a semi-simple Lie group which is an arithmetic subgroup is called an *arithmetic lattice*. A hyperbolic manifold $M = \Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$ such that Γ is an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^n) \simeq \mathrm{SO}^0(1,n)$ is called an *arithmetic hyperbolic manifold*. Arithmeticity is preserved by finite quotients, therefore if Γ is an arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ then Γ projects to an arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{SO}^0(1,n)$.

The fact that the groups $\mathrm{Spin}(f,k)$ and $\mathrm{SO}^0(f,k)$ are algebraic groups allows us to construct a certain class of arithmetic subgroups of $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ and $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(1,n)$. Suppose k is a totally real number field and f is a quadratic form defined over k and of signature $(1,n)$ over $\mathbb{R}$, such that for any non-trivial embedding $\sigma : k \to \mathbb{R}$ the quadratic form f^σ is positive definite. From now on, any quadratic form satisfying these conditions will be called an *admissible quadratic form*. By restriction of scalars $\mathrm{Spin}(f,\mathbb{R})_{\mathcal{O}_k}$ and $\mathrm{SO}^0(f,\mathbb{R})_{\mathcal{O}_k}$ embed as arithmetic subgroups of $\mathrm{Spin}(f,\mathbb{R}) \simeq \widetilde{G}$ and $\mathrm{SO}^0(f,\mathbb{R}) \simeq G$, respectively.

The subgroups Γ of $\widetilde{G}$ and G constructed in this way and subgroups commensurable with them are called *arithmetic lattices of the first type*. If Γ is torsion-free, $M = \Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$ is called an *arithmetic hyperbolic manifold of the first type*.

Any non-cocompact arithmetic lattice in G and $\widetilde{G}$ is of the first type and defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ [11, Secs. 1-2], and any arithmetic subgroup defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ is non-cocompact if $n \geq 4$ [12, Sec. §6.4]. If n is even, all the co-compact arithmetic lattices of $\widetilde{G}$ and G are of the first type. In odd dimensions $n \neq 7$ there is a second class of co-compact arithmetic subgroups of G and $\widetilde{G}$ arising from skew-hermitian forms over division quaternion algebras. In dimension 7 there is a third class constructed using certain Cayley algebras (see [11, Sec. 1] and the references therein).

Defining arithmetic subgroups of $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ requires to consider isomorphisms $\mathrm{Spin}(f,\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$. We will need such an isomorphism preserving the real part of the spin elements. For completeness, we will finish this section describing one of these isomorphisms.

Suppose f is an admissible quadratic form of dimension $n+1$. Let (E,f) be a quadratic vector space of dimension $n+1$ over $\mathbb{R}$ and $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1},q)$ be the Euclidean space with the quadratic form $q = x_0^2 - x_1^2 - \cdots - x_n^2$. Choose an orthogonal basis $i_1,\ldots,i_{n+1}$ of (E,f) such that $f(x) = x_0^2 - x_1^2 - \cdots - x_n^2$ and let $e_1,\ldots,e_{n+1}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. By the universal property of $\mathscr{C}(f)$, the map $g : E \to \mathscr{C}(q)$ defined as $g(\Sigma x_j i_j) = \Sigma x_j e_j$ extends uniquely to an $\mathbb{R}$-algebras homomorphism $\tilde{g} : \mathscr{C}(f) \to \mathscr{C}(q)$ given by

$$\tilde{g}\left(\sum_{M\in\mathscr{P}_{n+1}} s_M i_M\right) = \sum_{M\in\mathscr{P}_{n+1}} s_M e_M.$$

From this equation it is clear that $\tilde{g}$ is an isomorphism and $\tilde{g}(s)_{\mathbb{R}} = s_{\mathbb{R}}$. Note that $\tilde{g}$ commutes with $*$ and restricts to an isomorphism of groups

$$\tilde{g} : \mathrm{Spin}(f,\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Spin}(1,n).$$

2.4. **Congruence coverings of arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds.** Let Γ be an arithmetic subgroup of a semi-simple Lie group G commensurable with $\varphi(\mathrm{H}(\mathcal{O}_k))$ as in Section 2.3. If $I \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ is a non-zero ideal of $\mathcal{O}_k$, the *principal congruence subgroup of* Γ associated to I is by definition the subgroup $\Gamma(I) = \Gamma \cap \mathrm{H}(I)$, where

$$\mathrm{H}(I) := ker\big(\mathrm{H}(\mathcal{O}_k) \xrightarrow{\pi_I} \mathrm{H}(\mathcal{O}_k/I)\big)$$

and π_I denotes the reduction map modulo I.

If Γ is an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ and $M=\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$, any ideal $I\subset\mathcal{O}_k$ defines a *principal congruence covering* $M_I=\Gamma(I)\backslash\mathbb{H}^n\to M$. Since $\Gamma(I)$ is a normal finite-index subgroup of Γ, the covering $M_I\to M$ is a regular finite sheeted covering map.

We would like to give now a concrete description of the principal congruence subgroups of $\Gamma=\mathrm{Spin}(f,\mathbb{R})_{\mathcal{O}_k}$ with f an admissible quadratic form. We will use the multiplicative structure of the algebra $\mathscr{C}(f,\mathbb{R})$ to describe an embedding of $\mathrm{Spin}(f,\mathbb{R})$ into GL_m for some m. Choose an orthogonal basis $B=\{e_1,\dots,e_{n+1}\}$ with respect to f. The Clifford algebra $\mathscr{C}(f,\mathbb{R})$ is a real vector space of dimension 2^{n+1} with basis $\{e_M\}_{M\in\mathscr{P}_{n+1}}$ and the group $\mathrm{Spin}(f,\mathbb{R})$ acts on it by left multiplication. For any $s\in\mathrm{Spin}(f,\mathbb{R})$, the linear map $L_s(x)=sx$, $x\in\mathscr{C}(f,\mathbb{R})$, belongs to $\mathrm{GL}(\mathscr{C}(f,\mathbb{R}))\simeq\mathrm{GL}_{2^{n+1}}(\mathbb{R})$ and so we have a linear representation $L:\mathrm{Spin}(f,\mathbb{R})\to\mathrm{GL}_{2^{n+1}}(\mathbb{R})$. If $s=\sum_{|M|\text{ even}}s_Me_M$ with $s_M\in\mathbb{R}$, then $L_s\in\mathrm{GL}_{2^{n+1}}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ if and only if all $s_M\in\mathcal{O}_k$. We then obtain that

$$\Gamma=\left\{s=\sum_{|M|\text{ even}}s_Me_M\mid s_M\in\mathcal{O}_k\ \text{ and }\ ss^*=1\right\}.$$

With this representation, for an ideal $I\subset\mathcal{O}_k$ the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(I)$ corresponds to the kernel of the projection map $\mathrm{Spin}(f,\mathbb{R})_{\mathcal{O}_k}\xrightarrow{\pi_I}\mathrm{GL}_{2^{n+1}}(\mathcal{O}_k/I)$, which corresponds to the group

$$\Gamma(I)=\left\{s=\sum_{|M|\text{ even}}s_Me_M\in\Gamma\mid s_M\in I\ \text{ for }\ M\neq\emptyset\ \text{ and }s_{\mathbb{R}}-1\in I\right\}.$$

3. The displacement of elements in $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ acting on $\mathbb{H}^n$

The main goal in this article is to obtain a lower bound for the systole of the hyperbolic manifolds $M_I=\Gamma(I)\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$. The geometry of M_I is defined by the geometry of $\mathbb{H}^n$, the group $\Gamma(I)$ and its action on $\mathbb{H}^n$. The lengths of closed geodesics on M_I are then encapsulated by the hyperbolic distance between points $p\in\mathbb{H}^n$ and its displacement, or image, by the action of elements in $\Gamma(I)$.

In this section we start to explore this action seeing that the real part of elements in $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ plays a remarkable role. In the next section we will specialize to the congruence subgroups $\Gamma(I)$.

Since we will focus our attention on the group $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$, we can fix the real vector space $E=\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the quadratic form $q=x_1^2-x_2^2-\cdots-x_{n+1}^2$ and the canonical basis $e_1,e_2,\dots,e_{n+1}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The Clifford algebra $\mathscr{C}(q)$ can be then described as the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra generated by

$e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n+1}$ with the relations $e_1^2 = 1$, $e_j^2 = -1$ for $j = 2, \ldots, n+1$ and $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$ for $i \neq j$. The following lemma often will allow us to simplify the situation by conjugating in the group $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$.

Lemma 3.1. *The real part of the elements of* $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ *is conjugation invariant.*

Proof. Consider the faithful representation $L : \mathrm{Spin}(1,n) \to \mathrm{GL}_{2^n}(\mathbb{R})$ given by the action of $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ on $\mathscr{C}(q)$ by the left multiplication $L_s(x) = sx$. For the basis element e_M, the coefficient in e_M of $L_s(e_M)$ is equal to $s_{\mathbb{R}}$, hence the associated matrix of L_s in this basis has all its entries in the principal diagonal equal to $s_{\mathbb{R}}$. This implies that the trace of L_s is equal to $2^{n+1} s_{\mathbb{R}}$, and since the trace of matrices is conjugation invariant, it concludes the proof.

□

Now, considering the map φ in (5), we can relate the displacement and the real part of elements in $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$. The main step is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $s \in \mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ *and* φ_s *its image under* φ *in* $\mathrm{SO}^o(1,n)$. *If* $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j=1,\ldots,n+1}$ *represents* φ_s *in the basis* $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{n+1}\}$, *we have*

$$\cosh(d(e_1, \varphi_s(e_1)) = a_{1,1}.$$

In particular, if $s = \sum_M s_M e_M$, *then* $\cosh(d(e_1, \varphi_s(e_1)) = \sum_M s_M^2$.

Proof. The stabilizer of the point $e_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{H}^n$ for the action of $\mathrm{SO}^0(1,n)$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$ is given by the subgroup of matrices of the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & b_{2,1} & b_{2,2} & \cdots & b_{2,n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & b_{n,1} & b_{n,2} & \cdots & b_{n,n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where the matrix $\tilde{B} = (b_{i,j})$ is an $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix.

Now, $\varphi_s(e_1) = A(e_1) = (a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{n+1,1})$ and we can find an orthogonal matrix $\tilde{B}$ such that

$$\tilde{B} \begin{pmatrix} a_{2,1} \\ a_{3,1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n+1,1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ c \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } c^2 = a_{2,1}^2 + \cdots + a_{n+1,1}^2.$$

Then, choosing B as in (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\cosh(d(e_1,\varphi_s(e_1)) &= \cosh(d(Be_1,B\varphi_s(e_1))\\ &= \cosh(d(e_1,a_{1,1}e_1+ce_{n+1}))\\ &= a_{1,1}\end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds due to the fact that the curve $\alpha(t)=\cosh(t)e_1+\sinh(t)e_{n+1}$ is a geodesic in $\mathbb{H}^n$ and then $d(e_1,\alpha(t))=d(\alpha(0),\alpha(t))=t$ for any $t\in\mathbb{R}$. So taking t such that $\cosh(t)=a_{1,1}$ and $\sinh(t)=c$.

Now, if $s=\sum_M s_M e_M$ then $se_1s^*=\sum_{M,N} s_M s_N e_N e_1 e_M^*$. Note that if $N\neq M$ the product $e_Ne_1e_M^*$ is a basis element different of e_1; if $N=M$ and e_M contains e_1 in its product then $e_Ne_1e_M^*=-e_1e_Me_M^*=e_1$; and if $N=M$ and e_M does not contains e_1 in its product then $e_Ne_1e_M^*=e_1e_Ne_N^*=e_1$. With all this we deduce that the coordinate e_1 of se_1s^*, which is equal to $a_{1,1}$, agrees with $\sum_M s_M^2$.

□

We can conclude this section relating the displacement of an element $s\in\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ with its real part. This relation is a generalization of the well-known fact that in dimensions 2 or 3 the displacement of an isometry is related to the trace of the matrix in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$, see e.g. [1, Chap. 7].

Proposition 3.1. *For any $s\in\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ with $|s_{\mathbb{R}}|\geq 1$ we have*

$$d(x,\varphi_s(x))\geq 2\log(|s_{\mathbb{R}}|).$$

Proof. Since the real part is conjugation invariant, conjugating by an element in $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ we can suppose that $x=e_1$. In this case, if $s=\sum_M s_M e_M$ by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\cosh(d(e_1,\varphi_s(e_1))=\sum_M s_M^2\geq s_{\mathbb{R}}^2.$$

Hence $d(e_1,\varphi_s(e_1))\geq\operatorname{arccosh}(s_{\mathbb{R}}^2)\geq 2\log(|s_{\mathbb{R}}|)$.

□

4. Lower bound for the displacement of $\Gamma(I)$

In this section we will study the displacement of the principal congruence subgroups $\Gamma(I)$ of $\Gamma=\mathrm{Spin}(f,k)_{\mathcal{O}_k}$ in terms on the ideal I. The main ideas are inspired by [10] where the authors study the systole of compact hyperbolic surfaces and 3-manifolds.

Suppose f is an admissible quadratic form defined over a totally real number field of degree d over $\mathbb{Q}$. We choose an orthogonal basis $\{e_1,\dots,e_{n+1}\}$ such that all the coefficients of f lie in the ring $\mathcal{O}_k$ and recall from Section 2.4 that we can represent $\Gamma(I)$ in the form

$$\Gamma(I) = \{s = \sum_{|M| \text{ even}} s_M e_M \in \Gamma \mid s_M \in I \text{ for any } M \neq \emptyset, s_{\mathbb{R}} - 1 \in I\}.$$

By Proposition 3.1 one can find a lower bound for the displacement of elements in $\Gamma(I)$ from a lower bound for the real part of its elements. The condition $ss^* = 1$ implies that

$$\sum_{|M| \text{ even}} s_M^2 f(e_M) = 1, \tag{7}$$

where for $M = \{i_{v_1}, \ldots, i_{v_k}\}$ we denote by $f(e_M)$ the product $f(e_{i_{v_1}}) \cdots f(e_{i_{v_k}})$, which lies in $\mathcal{O}_k$.

If $s \in \Gamma(I)$, we obtain that $s_{\mathbb{R}}^2 - 1 \in I^2$. Writing $s_{\mathbb{R}} = y_0 + 1$ with $y_0 \in I$, we have $2y_0 \in I^2$. From this we can obtain a lower bound for $|s_{\mathbb{R}}|$ in terms of the norm of the ideal I, $\mathrm{N}(I)$, which is by definition the cardinality of the quotient ring $\mathcal{O}_k/I$.

Lemma 4.1. *For any non-trivial $s \in \Gamma(I)$, we have $|s_{\mathbb{R}}| \geq \frac{\mathrm{N}(I)^2}{2^{2d-1}} - 1$.*

Proof. Applying any non-trivial embedding σ to Equation (7), since f^σ is positive-definite, we have

$$\sigma(s_{\mathbb{R}})^2 \leq \sum_{M \text{ even}} \sigma(s_M)^2 f^\sigma(e_M) = 1 \tag{8}$$

Replacing $\sigma(s_{\mathbb{R}}) = \sigma(y_0)+1$ in Equation (8), we obtain that $|\sigma(y_0)| \leq 2$. Observe that $\sigma(y_0) \neq 0$, since otherwise $\sigma(s_{\mathbb{R}}) = 1$ and Equation (8) will imply $\sigma(s_M) = 0$ for any $M \neq \emptyset$, so by injectivity of σ we will then have $s = 1$.

Since $2y_0 \in I^2$, we have $\mathrm{N}(y_0) \geq \frac{\mathrm{N}(I)^2}{2^d}$. By definition, $\mathrm{N}(y_0)$ is equal to the product $|y_0| \prod_{\sigma \neq 1} |\sigma(y_0)|$ and so $|y_0| \geq \frac{\mathrm{N}(I)^2}{2^{2d-1}}$. This shows that

$$|s_{\mathbb{R}}| \geq |y_0| - 1 \geq \frac{\mathrm{N}(I)^2}{2^{2d-1}} - 1.$$

□

This result together with the results obtained in the previous section allow us to relate the displacement of elements of $\Gamma(I)$ with the norm $\mathrm{N}(I)$.

Proposition 4.1. *If $I \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ is an ideal with norm $\mathrm{N}(I) \geq 2^d$, then for any non-trivial $s \in \Gamma(I)$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ we have*

$$d(x, \varphi_s(x)) \geq 4 \log(\mathrm{N}(I)) - 4d \log(2).$$

Proof. The condition $\mathrm{N}(I) \geq 2^d$ implies that $\frac{\mathrm{N}(I)^2}{2^{2d-1}} - 1 \geq \frac{\mathrm{N}(I)^2}{2^{2d}}$ and so $|s_{\mathbb{R}}| \geq \frac{N(I)^2}{2^{2d}} \geq 1$. The result follows from Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.1. □

This proposition shows in particular that for ideals with norm large enough the congruence subgroup $\Gamma(I)$ acts without fix points and so the quotient $M_I = \Gamma(I)\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$ is a hyperbolic manifold.

5. Proof of the main theorem

We can now to put all the pieces together and prove the theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let Γ be an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{Spin}(1,n)$ of the first type defined over a totally real number field k. Then for any sequence of prime ideals $I \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ the principal congruence subgroups $\Gamma(I)$ satisfy*

$$\mathrm{sys}\pi_1(M_I) \geq \frac{8}{n(n+1)} \log([\Gamma : \Gamma(I)]) - c,$$

where $M_I = \Gamma(I)\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$ and c is a constant independent on I.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that there exists an admissible quadratic form f which is diagonal with coefficients in the ring $\mathcal{O}_k$ and $\Gamma = \mathrm{Spin}(f,k)_{\mathcal{O}_k}$. These assumptions may require passing to a finite sheet covering, which is compatible with the statement of the theorem.

If $I \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ is a prime ideal we denote by $\mathbb{F}_{N(I)}$ the field $\mathcal{O}_k/I$ and by $\overline{f}$ the projection of the quadratic form to the form over $\mathbb{F}_{N(I)}$. Note that if the norm of the ideal I is big enough, $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}_{N(I)}) \neq 2$ because $2 \notin I$ and that the quadratic form $\bar{f}$ is non-degenerate because the coefficients are not in I. Now, since the kernel of the map φ in (5) is $\{1,-1\}$ and the cardinality of the orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(\overline{f}, \mathbb{F}_{N(I)})$ is bounded by $2N(I)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$ (see e.g. [16, Sec. 3.7.2]), we obtain that

$$[\Gamma : \Gamma(I)] \leq |\mathrm{Spin}\left(\bar{f}, \mathbb{F}_{N(I)}\right)| \leq 2|\mathrm{O}\left(\bar{f}, \mathbb{F}_{N(I)}\right)| \leq 4\,\mathrm{N}(I)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}.$$

Now, if α is a closed geodesic on $M_I = \Gamma(I)\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$ with the length equal to $\mathrm{sys}\pi_1(M_I)$, then there is an element $s \in \Gamma(I)$ and a point $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ such that $d(x, \varphi_s(x)) = \ell(\alpha) = \mathrm{sys}\pi_1(M_I)$. By Proposition 4.1 we conclude that

$$\mathrm{sys}\pi_1(M_I) \geq \frac{8}{n(n+1)} \log([\Gamma : \Gamma(I)]) - \left(4d + \frac{16}{n(n+1)}\right) \log(2).$$

So, if the norm of the ideal I is large enough, we obtain the desired inequality with $c = \left(4d + \frac{16}{n(n+1)}\right) \log(2)$. The systole for other I can be compensated by enlarging the constant. □

Remark: As we mentioned in the introduction, the systole of congruence coverings of a compact arithmetic hyperbolic manifold M has logarithmic growth with respect to the volume of M. For any compact hyperbolic manifold, an upper bound for the systole can be obtained from the fact that the injectivity radius is equal to $\frac{sys\pi_1(M)}{2}$, but this argument does not apply in the non-compact case. In particular, if $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \geq 4$ all the examples considered in this work are non-cocompact and we would like to have an upper bound for $sys\pi_1(M_I)$ in terms of logarithm of the volume. Recently, M. Gendulphe [7] overcame this problem showing that for any non-compact hyperbolic manifold with finite volume

$$\text{sys}\pi_1(M) \leq 2\log(\text{vol}(M)) + d,$$

with d an explicit constant depending on the dimension of M.

6. Systolic genus of hyperbolic manifolds

Historically, sequences of congruence coverings have been used in many different contexts. We would like to apply Theorem 5.1 to improve some results obtained in the last years. The first application concerns to the systolic genus of arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds studied by M. Belolipetsky in [2].

The main result in [2] relates the systolic genus $\text{sysg}(M)$ of a hyperbolic manifold M with the systole $\text{sys}\pi_1(M)$. If we denote by S_g a Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$, then the *systolic genus* of a Riemannian manifold M is defined by

$$\text{sysg}(M) = \min\{g \mid \pi_1(M) \text{ contains } \pi_1(S_g)\}.$$

Concerning the congruence coverings in dimension $n \geq 3$, the following result is proved in [2]:

Proposition 6.1. [2, Proposition 5.3]. *Let Γ be a fundamental group of a closed arithmetic hyperbolic manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$.*

(A) There exists constant $C > 0$ such that for a decreasing sequence $\Gamma_i < \Gamma$ of congruence subgroups of Γ, the corresponding quotient manifolds $M_i = \Gamma_i \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$ satisfy

$$\log \text{sysg}(M_i) \gtrsim C \log(\text{vol}(M_i)), \text{ as } i \to \infty.$$

(B) If Γ is of the first type, the sequence of principal congruence subgroups Γ_I satisfy

$$\text{sysg}(M_I) \lesssim \text{vol}(M_I)^{\frac{6}{n(n+1)}}, \text{ as } N(I) \to \infty,$$

where $M_I = \Gamma_I \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$.

We recall that for two positive functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, the relation $f(x) \gtrsim g(x)$ means that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists x_0 depending on ϵ

such that $f(x) \geq (1-\epsilon)g(x)$ for all $x \geq x_0$.

The explicit constant $C = \frac{1}{3}$ is known in dimension $n = 3$ [2, Proposition 3.1], but in higher dimensions no explicit value of this constant was known so far. In this respect, we can apply Theorem 5.1 to give a quantitative version of this result.

Proposition 6.2. *Let Γ be a fundamental group of a closed arithmetic hyperbolic manifold of the first type of dimension $n \geq 3$. Then Γ has a sequence of congruence coverings Γ_i such that the quotient manifolds $M_i = \Gamma_i \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$ satisfy*

$$\log \operatorname{sysg}(M_i) \gtrsim \frac{4}{n(n+1)} \log(\operatorname{vol}(M_i)), \quad \text{as } i \to \infty.$$

Proof. Consider the principal congruence subgroups $\Gamma_I < \Gamma$ with I ranging over prime ideals. By Theorem 5.1,

$$\operatorname{sys}\pi_1(M_I) \gtrsim \frac{8}{n(n+1)} \log(\operatorname{vol}(M_I)), \text{ as } N(I) \to \infty$$

The result now follows from [2, Theorem 5.1].

□

Applying the part (B) of Proposition 6.1 we obtain the following.

Corollary 6.1. *Let Γ be the fundamental group of a closed arithmetic hyperbolic manifold of the first type of dimension $n \geq 3$. Then Γ has a sequence of congruence subgroups Γ_i such that the quotient manifolds $M_i = \Gamma_i \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$ satisfy*

$$\frac{4}{n(n+1)} \log\left(\operatorname{vol}(M_i)\right) \lesssim \log \operatorname{sysg}\left(M_i\right) \lesssim \frac{6}{n(n+1)} \log\left(\operatorname{vol}(M_i)\right),$$

when $i \to \infty$.

7. Homological Codes

In this section we apply Theorem 5.1 to homological codes. Motivated by a question of Zémor [17], L. Guth and A. Lubotzky constructed in 2013 a certain class of homological codes using congruence coverings of arithmetic hyperbolic 4-dimensional manifolds [9]. For the definition of homological codes and the details of the construction using hyperbolic manifolds we refer the reader to [9] and the references therein.

According to the known examples, Zémor [17] asked if it is true that any $[[n, k, d]]$ homological quantum code satisfy the inequality $kd^2 \leq n^{1+o(1)}$. E. Fetaya [6] proved that it holds for surfaces but L. Guth and A. Lubotzky [9] gave counterexamples in dimension 4. The construction comes from congruence coverings of a compact 4-dimensional

arithmetic hyperbolic manifold. The main result in [9] can be stated in the following way.

Theorem 7.1. [9, Theorem 1]. *Let M be a compact arithmetic hyperbolic 4-manifold. There exist constants $\epsilon, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$ such that for a sequence of congruence coverings M_I with triangulations X the associated homological quantum codes constructed in $C^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) = C_2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ are $[[n, \epsilon_1 n, n^{\epsilon_2}]]$ codes and satisfies*

$$kd^2 \geq n^{1+\epsilon}.$$

Related to Zémor's question, it could be interesting to obtain an explicit value of the constant $\epsilon > 0$ in the previous result. Since the construction in [9] make use of congruence coverings of arithmetic 4-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds, we can use Theorem 5.1 to give an explicit value of this constant.

As we noted in Section 2.3, the fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ embeds as an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{Spin}(1,4)$ of the first type and it is defined over a totally real number field $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$. By compactness, the injectivity radius of M_I is equal to $\frac{\mathrm{sys}\pi_1(M_I)}{2}$, therefore Theorem 5.1 implies that for prime ideals $I \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ with norm sufficiently large, the injectivity radius of M_I satisfy

$$\mathrm{inj}(M_I) \geq \frac{1}{5}\log(\mathrm{vol}(M_I)) - c,$$

for some constant c independent of M_I. Using this bound in the proof of Theorem 7.1 in [9], we obtain that the distance of the codes in this construction satisfy

$$d \geq c_1 n^{0.2},$$

for some positive constant c_1. It is known that $d = O(n^{0.3})$ [9, Remark 20], hence this bound is quite close to the optimal one. To conclude with the estimate in Theorem 7.1, recall that the dimension k of the code satisfy

$$k \geq c_2 n,$$

for some positive constant c_2 if the norm of the ideal I is sufficiently large [9, Theorem 6]. Therefore, we obtain that for prime ideals I with norm sufficiently large, the homological codes constructed from M_I satisfy

$$kd^2 \geq c_3 n^{1+0.4},$$

for some positive constant c_3.

References

[1] A. F Beardon. *The geometry of discrete groups*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[2] M. Belolipetsky. On 2-systoles of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 23(3):813–827, 2013.

[3] N. Bourbaki. *Algèbre. Chapitre 9: Formes sesquilinéaires et formes quadratiques*. Actualités Sci. Ind, n^o 1272, Paris: Hermann, 1959.

[4] C. Chevalley. *The algebraic theory of spinors*. Columbia Univ. Press, 1971.

[5] J. Dieudonné. *La géométrie des groupes classiques*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Bd 5. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1971.

[6] Ethan Fetaya. Bounding the distance of quantum surface codes. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 53(6):062202, 2012.

[7] M. Gendulphe. Systole et rayon interne des variétés hyperboliques non compactes. *Geometry & Topology*, 19(4):2039–2080, 2015.

[8] M. Gromov. Systoles and intersystolic inequalities. *Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques. Séminaires & Congrés 1*, 1996.

[9] L. Guth and A. Lubotzky. Quantum error, correcting codes and 4-dimensional arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 55(8), 2014.

[10] M. Katz, M. Schaps, and U. Vishne. Logarithmic growth of systole of arithmetic Riemann surfaces along congruence subgroups. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 76:399–422, 2007.

[11] J. S. Li and J. J. Millson. On the first Betti number of a hyperbolic manifold with an arithmetic fundamental group. *Duke Math. J*, 71(2):365–401, 1993.

[12] D. W Morris. *Introduction to Arithmetic Groups*. Deductive Press, 2015.

[13] P. G. P. Murillo. On growth of systole along congruence coverings of Hilbert modular varieties. *arXiv:1605.05398*, 2016.

[14] P. G. P. Murillo. PhD thesis, IMPA, in preparation.

[15] P. Sarnak and P. Buser. On the period matrix of a Riemann surface of large genus (with an appendix by J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane). *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 117:27–56, 1994.

[16] R. Wilson. *The finite simple groups*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.

[17] G. Zémor. On cayley graphs, surface codes, and the limits of homological coding for quantum error correction. In *International Conference on Coding and Cryptology*, pages 259–273. Springer, 2009.

IMPA. Estrada Dona Castorina 110, 22460-320, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
E-mail address: plinio@impa.br